

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,764	10/629,764 07/30/2003		Akira Aoto	10517/80	7701
23838	7590	12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON 6 1500 K STR		ON	RUTHKOSKY, MARK		
SUITE 700					PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				1745	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/629,764	AOTO, AKIRA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety of the provision of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,764

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1-4, 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) has been overcome by applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) in view of Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) OR unpatentable over Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) in view of Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) and further in view of Yoshimura et al. (US 6,291,094.)

The instant claims are to an apparatus comprising a separator for a fuel cell comprising a metal plate including a gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion, and a terminal of a cell voltage monitor. The contact portion contacts the terminal of a cell voltage monitor, and wherein a surface treatment on the gas passage portion is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion.

Art Unit: 1745

Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) teaches a separator for a fuel cell comprising a metal plate including a carbon coated gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion, wherein the carbon-coated surface treatment applied to the gas passage portion is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion. The untreated frame/stainless steel section is a contact portion other than the gas passage portion and also serves as an attachment portion. A gasket frame portion is noted (cols. 5-6.) Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) does not teach the contact portion being brought into contact with a terminal of a cell voltage monitor attached to the fuel cell.

Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) teaches a fuel cell stack with a voltage-measuring terminal attached to the sidewall of the separator plate. The fuel cell separator plates have a protruding terminal integral with the separator for measuring the voltage of each cell in the fuel cell stack. The separator may be graphite, aluminum and stainless steel (paragraph 28.) The terminal is engaged with a voltage monitor (paragraphs 12-29.) The attachment portion is attached in the direction wherein a plurality of frames are stacked as taught in figure 1. Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) does not teach the metal separator plate is coated with a carbon layer in the area of gas flow along the separator plate.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach a terminal in the manner taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) to the frame portion of the separator plate of Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) in order to measure the voltage of each cell in the fuel cell stack as taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828.) The attachment portion may be attached to the stainless steel frame by soldering or welding as taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828.) As the outer surface of the plate is not coated, it would be accessible to the exterior

Application/Control Number: 10/629,764

Art Unit: 1745

measuring device. Further, the skilled artesian would understand that the welding of the metal lead to the metal plate would provide a secure weld as compared with the carbon coating.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the gas flow portion of the separator plate taught by Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) with the carbon layer of material on of the separator plate of Meacher et al. (US 5,858,569) in order to produce gas low through grooves and to form an electrically conductive path for current generated in the groove regions of the cell to flow laterally to areas where the contacting portions of the separator plates. It would further be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply a conductive coating to the stainless steel plate. Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) teaches the plate may be of aluminum or stainless steel. For example, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to coat the stainless steel plate with an aluminum coating as Hiroshi et al. (JP 11-339,828) teaches aluminum as a conductive separator material that forms a bond with a protruding terminal. Further, the contact faces between adjacent separators can be provided with sufficiently high electronic conductivity and the internal resistance of the cell can be reduced to increase the output voltage of the fuel cell (as evidenced by US 6,291,094.)

With regard to claims 9-10, the references do not teach the entire gas passage is treated with a coating. Yoshimura et al. (US 6,291,094) teaches a fuel cell comprising a grooved metal plate including a carbon coated gas passage portion and a contact portion in a part other than the gas passage portion, wherein the carbon-coated surface treatment is applied to the entire gas passage portion and is different from a surface treatment applied to the contact portion. The separator includes a metal such as stainless steel, coated with a protective, conductive layer

Art Unit: 1745

followed by a coating of carbon. The carbon may selectively added to the gas passage areas (see col. 14.) The untreated frame/stainless steel section is a contact portion other than the gas passage portion and also serves as an attachment portion. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the gas passage as part of the metal plate and coat the entire gas passage with carbon as taught in Yoshimura et al. (US 6,291,094.) The coatings will provide corrosion resistance and high conductivity for the transfer of electrons in a fuel cell. The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 10/14/2005, with respect to the amended claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. Different treated surfaces in the Meacher reference are taught in claim 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,764 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1745

Mahattathy 12-20-2005